### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

## **PCT**

# TRANSLATION INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference NKFS0795PCT	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416					
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)					
PCT/JP2004/006259	11.05.2004	26.09.2003					
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC CQ9J177/10, B32B15/08, C08G69/32, C09J7/02, H05K3/38, H05K3/46							
NIPPON KAYAKU KABUSHI	IKI KAISHA						
		International Preliminary Examining Authority					
2. This REPORT consists of a total of _	4 sheets, including	ng this cover sheet.					
3. This report is also accompanied by Al	International filing date (day/month/year)   Priority date (day/month/year)   26.09.2003						
a. (sent to the applicant and	to the International Bureau) a total of	sheets, as follows:					
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative							
the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental							
	, containing a sequence listing and/or tables						
related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see							
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Box No. II Priority							
Box No. III Non-establi:	shment of opinion with regard to novelty, inver	ntive step and industrial applicability					
Box No. IV Lack of unit	ty of invention						
		elty, inventive step or industrial applicability;					
Box No. VI Certain doc	uments cited						
Box No. VII Certain defe	ects in the international application						
Box No. VIII Certain obse	ervations on the international application						
Date of submission of the demand	Date of completion of t	his report					
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/JP	Authorized officer						
Facsimile No.	Telephone No.						

• 1

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/006259

Box	No. I	Basis of the report					
1.		regard to the language, this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise ated under this item.					
		This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:  international search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b))  publication of the international application (Rule 12.4) international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3)	•				
2.	With regard to the elements of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):  the international application as originally filed/furnished the description:						
		pages 1-15 as originally filed/furnished  pages* received by this Authority on					
	M						
		the claims:  nos. 5-8 as originally filed/furnisher	a				
			,				
		nos.* 1-4 received by this Authority on 25.07.2005  nos.* received by this Authority on	•				
	П		•				
	_	sheets as originally filed/furnishe	d				
		sheets* received by this Authority on					
		sheets* received by this Authority on					
		a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.					
3.		The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:					
		the description, pages	-				
		the claims, nos.	-				
		the drawings, sheets/figs	-				
		the sequence listing (specify):	-				
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):	-				
4.		This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).	ice				
		the description, pages	-				
		the claims, nos.	-				
		the drawings, sheets/figs	-				
		the sequence listing (specify):	_				
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):	_				
	If it	m 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded."					

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/006259

Box N				ticle 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; porting such statement	
1.	Statement		_		
	Novelty (N	T)	Claims	1-3	YES
			Claims	4-8	мо
	Inventive s	step (IS)	Claims	1-3	YES
			Claims	4-8	NO
	Industrial a	applicability (IA)	Claims	1-8	YES
			Claims		NO

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)

Document 1: JP 2000-80344 A (Kabushiki Kaisha Tomoegawa Seisakusho), 21 March 2000

Document 3: JP 11-106712 A (Kabushiki Kaisha Tomoegawa Seisakusho), 20 April 1999

The inventions set forth in claims 4 to 8 lack novelty and/or do not involve an inventive step in the light of the content disclosed in documents 1 and 3 cited in the international search report.

Documents 1 and 3 both disclose film-like objects which are configured from a base material that comprises a resin layer of a poly(amide)imide resin or the like by forming a layer of an adhesive (adjuvant) composition, which includes a solvent and an aromatic polyamide with a phenolic hydroxy group, upon the surface of said resin layer, and also disclose copper-clad laminate circuit boards which are configured by providing said film-like object upon one section of the layered structure of a laminated board and then adhering a copper foil or the like thereto.

A comparison of the inventions set forth in claims 4 to 8 and the inventions disclosed in documents 1 and 3 showed that although the methods for the production

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/006259

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

thereof are different, there cannot be considered to be any significant differences between the structures or the like of the resulting films, the resulting laminated boards or the resulting circuit boards. Such being the case, there cannot be considered to be any significant technical difference between the inventions in question as physical objects.

As a result, the inventions that are set forth in claims 4 to 8 and the inventions that are disclosed in documents 1 and 3 can be considered to be substantially the same.

The documents that are cited in the international search report do not include any disclosure that refutes the novelty or inventive step of the inventions that are set forth in claims 1 to 3, and thus the inventions in question can be considered to be novel and to involve an inventive step.